

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/323,230	06/01/1999	YASUNORI UETANI	2185-0343P	8929
2292	7590 12/04/2002			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747	RCH, VA 22040-0747		CHU, JO	HN S Y
171555 6110	1011, 771 22010 0717		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1752	100
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002 2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

· ·		Application No.	licant(s)	
		09/323,230	TAKATA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		John S. Chu	1752	
	The MAILING DATE of this commu			ddress
Period fo	r Reply			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUL sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three monther dragater than three dragater	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory mi statutory period will apply and will expire ply will, by statute, cause the application is after the mailing date of this communic.	rever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 25 September 2002		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is non-f		
3)□	Since this application is in conditi	<i>,</i> —		he merite ie
, —	closed in accordance with the pra on of Claims			ne ments is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 6-9 is/are pending	in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is	/are withdrawn from conside	ration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 6-9 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election require	ement.	
Applicati	on Papers	·		
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any o	objection to the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
11) 🔲 🗀	The proposed drawing correction file	led on is: a)□ approv	ed b) disapproved by the Exami	ner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are	, , ,	ction.	
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of	•		
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priorit	ty documents have been rec	eived.	
	2. Certified copies of the priorit	ty documents have been rece	eived in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Integee the attached detailed Office act	rnational Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	l Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim		•	al application
_a)) ☐ The translation of the foreign I Acknowledgment is made of a claim	anguage provisional applicat	ion has been received.	appround
ے روں Attachment	-	To acmostic priority under t	50 0.0.0. 33 120 and/01 121.	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	n(s)
2) D Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) 🗌	Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:	

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is responsive to the reconsideration received 9/25/02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TACHIKAWA et al in view of a AOAI et al.

The claimed invention is drawn to an article comprising a substrate comprising a silicon wafer and a positive resist composition comprising a novolac resin; an o-quinonediazide sulfonic acid ester of a compound having a phenolic hydroxyl group; and a thioxanthone compound represented by the following formula (I):

TACHIKAWA ET AL discloses a photosensitive composition comprising a quinonediazide compound and a sensitizer wherein the sensitizers are disclosed in column 3, lines 3-15. Applicants are directed to line 12 for the suggestion of thioxanthone as an additive aromatic ketone.

TACHIKAWA ET AL lacks an explicit example using the claimed and disclosed thioxanthone, however it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 1752

of photosensitive quinonediazide containing compositions to use any of the listed aromatic ketones with the reasonable expectation of same or similar results as disclosed in TACHIKAWA ET AL for the formation of positive and negative images. TACHIKAWA ET AL further lacks the explicit use of a silicon wafer as a substrate to coat the photosensitive composition.

TACHIKAWA ET AL, fails to explicitly disclose the presence of a sensitizer as claimed such as thioxanthone, however the use of sensitizers is to expand the spectral range and the activate the acid generators are well known and can easily be added to provide known and expected results.

AOAI ET AL '143 discloses in the FIELD OF THE INVENTION (col. 1,lines 26-32) that photosensitive compositions can be used as photoresist compositions or lithographic printing plates wherein the application of the photosensitive composition would decide the substrate to be coated, such that a silicon wafer and a printing plate substrate are analogous based on the desired application. Clearly the photosensitive composition comprising a quinonediazide compound, a phenolic resin and a thioxanthone is known in the art and merely coating the composition on a silicon wafer or a printing plate substrate is obvious to the skilled artisan depending on the desired application.

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of photosensitive composition to first coat the photosensitive compositions of TACHIKAWA et al on a silicon wafer as demonstrated taught by the FIELD OF INVENTION disclosed in AOAI ET AL. It would also been *prima facie* obvious to the skilled artisan to add thioxanthone in place of 1,2-benzanthraquinone of Example to function as a sensitizer to expand the spectral sensitivity of

Art Unit: 1752

the compositions as well as help activate the acid generators and reasonably expect same or similar results as recited in TACHIKAWA ET AL.

The arguments have been carefully considered, however are unpersuasive because the prior art reference recognizes the similar and conventional uses of the photoresist composition to the chemically amplified resists such that the mere preference of the substrate is obvious over the disclosure in AOAI et al '143. The choice of substrate is recognizably an alternative selection based on the state and preference of the use of the composition, such that analysis of the prior art and level of ordinary skill is not distinguished by the difference in the function of a photolithographic composition whether it is a chemically amplified, positive or negative composition. The Office maintains the rejection wherein it is believed the *prima facie* case of obviousness has been made and meets the criteria under 35 U.S.C. 103 and that applicants have overanalyzed the prior art to require a

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Chu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-5433.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Primary Examiner, Group 1700

Page 5

J.Chu

December 2, 2002